UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,813	02/03/2004	Xueshi Yang	S01.12-1013/STL 11469.00	3979
	7590 11/29/2007 CHNOLOGY LLC C/O	EXAMINER		
CHAMPLIN &		TRAN, KHAI		
SUITE 1400	AVENUE SOUTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, MN 55402-3319		2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,813	YANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KHAI TRAN	2611	

	KHAI TRAN	2611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following								
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	# 25 07 05D 44 27 must be	filed within two month	ss of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	to a suit man the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031180					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>flot</u> be efficied b	ccause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	Insideration and/or search (see NO	TE DEIOW),						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	iw), Hor form for anneal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal: and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	et hoforo or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	of he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	ai and/or appellant fal ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	is to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			1					
13.		Wanana.	utes					
		Primary Examiner						
:		Art Unit: 2611						

Application No. 10/771,813

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation "each equalizer in the bank of equalizers tuned to a different bit pattern" as recited in claim 1...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the present invention is directed to a technique which reduces the total noise seen by the detector prior to detection, rather than compensating for the noise in the detector itself. However, the reduction of the total noise seen by the detector prior to detection is not recited in the claim.